

# RatingsDirect®

---

**Summary:**

## Lake Mills Area School District, Wisconsin; General Obligation

**Primary Credit Analyst:**

Emily Powers, Chicago + 1 (312) 233 7030; emily.powers@spglobal.com

**Secondary Contact:**

David H Smith, Chicago + 1 (312) 233 7029; david.smith@spglobal.com

### Table Of Contents

---

Rationale

Outlook

## Summary:

# Lake Mills Area School District, Wisconsin; General Obligation

### Credit Profile

US\$9.39 mil GO sch bldg and imp bnds ser 2019 dtd 03/03/2019 due 03/01/2039

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	New
Lake Mills Area School District GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Lake Mills Area Sch Dist GO prom nts		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed

## Rationale

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA' rating to Lake Mills Area School District, Wis.' general obligation (GO) corporate purpose bonds, dated March 6, 2019. At the same time, we affirmed our 'AA' underlying rating on the district's existing GO debt. The outlook is stable.

The bonds are being issued based on a recently passed referendum to complete expansions and renovations throughout the district, including the addition of six classrooms and a new athletic field. The bonds are GOs of the district, secured by its full-faith-and-credit pledge.

The 'AA' underlying rating reflects our assessment of the district's creditworthiness, specifically its:

- Access to the diverse Madison and Milwaukee metropolitan statistical areas (MSA);
- Good-to-strong income indicators and very strong market value per capita;
- Stable enrollment which helps the district maintain balanced operations under the state's per-pupil revenue limit; and
- Very strong reserve levels.

Offsetting the above strengths are the district's moderate-to-high overall net debt burden and its elevated pension and other postemployment benefit (OPEB) carrying charges.

Overall, the district maintains a strong financial profile, supported by its participation in the Madison and Milwaukee MSAs, which provides access to resources that come with larger, growing economies. The district's location on along the shores of Rock Lake also acts as a draw, bringing in residents who see the location as an attractive place to own second homes. Demonstrating mostly stable operating results in recent years, the district has been able to maintain a strong reserve position. Finances have also been supported by gradual enrollment increases, which helps maintain its three-year moving enrollment average, thereby resulting in higher revenue. The district has elevated fixed costs,

related to its debt, pension, and OPEB burden, which could limit flexibility. While we note that the debt burden has deteriorated somewhat based on this series 2019 issuance, the district is backed by a strong and stable economy, home to the voters who approved the debt. Given all of these factors, we believe the rating will remain stable at this level.

### **Economy**

Located along Interstate-94, roughly 30 miles from Madison and 50 miles from Milwaukee, Lake Mills Area School District serves a population of 9,755. While its economy is centered primarily on agriculture and small manufacturing, its location along the interstate provides residents with access to the Madison MSA, as well as Milwaukee's western suburbs for employment opportunities. Within the district, top employers include the district itself (277 employees), Crystal Farms Refrigerated (200), and the City of Lake Mills (110). In our opinion, median household effective buying income (EBI) is strong at 111% of the national level, but per capita EBI is good at 100%.

Due in part to the district's location along Rock Lake, valuations have seen significant increases in recent years. Equalized value (EV; excluding tax-increment districts [TID]) grew by a total of 15.3% since 2014 to \$1.0 billion in 2019. Beyond the increasing value in lakefront properties and other housing, there has been significant expansion to old properties, leading to higher valuations. A new TID is being developed on the northern edge of the district, which will include new housing, as well as some commercial and industrial development. At \$107,843 per capita, the 2019 EV (including TIDs) totaling \$1.1 billion is, in our opinion, extremely strong. Based on the recent trends and continued development, management expects the upward tick in valuations to continue for the foreseeable future. Roughly 3.3% of EV (excluding TIDs) comes from the 10 largest taxpayers, representing a very diverse tax base, in our opinion.

### **Finances**

A three-year moving enrollment average is a key factor in Wisconsin school district per-pupil revenue, which is subject to a cap that the state determines. Although annual student count fluctuations do not have a material effect on finances, a trend of increasing or decreasing enrollment could lead to corresponding increases or decreases in revenue. Enrollment totaled 1,524 in 2019, growing in each year from 2015 to 2019, increasing by a total of 3.6% during that time.

The district's steadily growing enrollment has helped to provide financial stability, but fiscal year-end (June 30) 2017 saw a 5.6% deficit, amounting to roughly \$1.2 million. While management attributes this deficit to a board-planned drawdown of roughly \$1 million to cover several projects at the high school, the remainder of the deficit (roughly \$200,000) was due to an over-budgeting of revenues and under-budgeting of expenditures in the original budget. The district, which has since made changes to its management team, has corrected for these oversights and returned to normal operations in fiscal 2018, reporting an operating surplus of \$63,000, or 0.4% of expenditures. Its 2018 audit shows that it was able to end the year with a positive variance over its original budget. Projections for the 2019 fiscal year are exactly break-even.

Even after the drawdown in fiscal 2017, the district's available fund balance of \$4.1 million is very strong, in our view, at 28% of general fund expenditures in fiscal 2018. Management confirmed that it has no plans to draw down fund balance within the next year, although there could be a decrease of roughly \$400,000 from general fund reserves in 2020 or one of the following years as the board decided to use fund balance in addition to the current bond issuance to

pay for the district's athletic field. If this were to happen, we would expect our view of the district's financial position to remain unchanged, at a level we consider very strong.

## **Management**

We consider the district's management practices good under our Financial Management Assessment methodology, indicating financial practices exist in most areas, but that governance officials might not formalize or monitor all of them on a regular basis.

Management uses historical financial data and a line-by-line approach when creating the district's annual budget. It also makes use of outside sources to make budgetary adjustments for external trends. Management presents the board with budget-to-actual results on a monthly basis, which also allows for budgetary amendments as needed. The district maintains a long-term financial plan, providing projections for the current budget year and the two subsequent years, that is updated on an annual basis. It also has a rolling 10-year capital plan. The district maintains its own investment management policy, as well as its own fund balance policy, which requires unassigned fund balance to be at least 20% of expenditures, with which it has historically been in compliance. It does not have its own debt management policy.

## **Debt**

At 5.5% of market value, we consider overall net debt as moderate, but at \$5,910 on a per capita basis, we view it as high. Amortization is average, with 56% of the district's direct debt scheduled to be retired within 10 years. Debt service carrying charges were 11.8% of total governmental fund expenditures (excluding capital outlay) in fiscal 2018, which we consider moderate.

The district has no plans to issue additional debt within the next two years. Management also confirmed that the district does not have any direct-purchase or privately placed obligations that could pose a threat to the district's liquidity.

## **Pension and other postemployment benefit liabilities**

In fiscal 2018, the district paid \$1.1 million toward its pension obligations, equal to 100% of the full required contribution. Its full required pension contribution totaled 2.9% of total governmental expenditures. It also paid \$418,000, or 2.0% of total governmental expenditures, toward its OPEB obligations in fiscal 2018. Combined pension and OPEB carrying charges totaled 4.9% of total governmental fund expenditures in 2018.

The district contributes to Wisconsin Retirement System, a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined-benefit plan for retiree pension benefits. The system is 103% funded on a statewide basis. For fiscal 2018, the district reported an asset of roughly \$1.7 million for its proportionate share of the system's net pension liability. It also offers a single-employer defined-benefit pension plan to eligible employees who opted out of medical coverage while employees with a cash benefit in lieu of continued medical coverage. At fiscal year-end 2018, the district reported a liability of \$1.4 million for this plan, and made a contribution of \$259,000, or 1.2% of expenditures. It funds its OPEBs on a pay-as-you-go basis.

## **Outlook**

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that the district's enrollment and local economy will remain stable, which will help maintain its stability in funding. We also expect management to continue to budget conservatively to

maintain balanced operations, and that the district will maintain at least strong reserves over the next two years. Therefore, we do not expect to change the rating within our two-year outlook horizon.

**Upside scenario**

We could raise the rating if the district's economic indicators improved to levels commensurate with higher rated peers, coupled with moderation of debt and carrying charges to levels comparable with higher rated peers, assuming no deterioration in other credit factors.

**Downside scenario**

We could lower the rating if the district's finances deteriorate materially, decreasing its reserves to a level no longer comparable with that of similarly rated peers. We could also do so if the debt burden materially increases, pressuring the district's finances.

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at [www.capitaliq.com](http://www.capitaliq.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2018 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.